

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,475		07/29/2003	David A. Norman	14489-002003	7465
26231	7590	04/23/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI			MASIH, KAREN		
5000 BANK	ONE CE	NTER			····
1717 MAIN	STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, 1	ΓX 7520	1	2837		
·					

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/629,475	NORMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	karen masih	2837					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>-</u> ·						
, ,	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 4991 is/are pending in the application	1.						
52	4a) Of the above claim(s) displace withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	8(1) = 1 · 1						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>77-91</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		Karen Masih					
Attachment(s) Primary Examiner							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-28-03</u> .	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

Application/Control Number: 10/629,475

Art Unit: 2837

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 87 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what device in line 7 is connected to? Is it same as device in line 2 or different? . Is second radio coupled to the same robot in line 2 or different?

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 77-86 and as best can be understood claims 87-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohya et al in view of Reeley and Hayward et al as cited by applicant.

Ohya et al discloses safety at robot competition, commencing robot competition and providing remote disabling mechanism to disable at least one robot independent of person operating robot, see col 2 lines 45-65 and col 3 lines 5-55. Ohya et al lacks vicinity being 500 ft and plural robots. Reeley discloses 500 feet, see col 10 lines 63. Hayward et al discloses plural robots, see abstract. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the safety at robot competition of Ohya et al with 500 ft of Reeley for controlling motion and combine the plural robots for mobility and navigation.

Art Unit: 2837

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Scott discloses robots and 500 feet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to karen masih whose telephone number is 571-272-2068. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 8.30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, david martin can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 41. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

karen masih Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837

KM